Introduction	Technological Progress	Mist-match	LR Unemployment	Institutions & policies	Nature of Work
0000000000	000000000	000	000000	0000	00000

# Technological progress, employment, and living standards in the long run BCPM0058: ECONOMICS

### Dr. Kumar Aniket

Bartlett School of Construction & Project Management

www.aniket.co.uk/pages/ucl.php

#### Lecture 16

©Dr. Kumar Aniket

Introduction	Technological Progress	Mist-match	LR Unemployment	Institutions & policies	Nature of Work
•000000000		000	000000	0000	00000
CONTEX	T				

#### Long-run technological change improves living standards

short-run causes short-run unemployment as current jobs get destroyed and new jobs are created (Units 1-2)

However, *long-run patterns of unemployment* across countries are not explained by *national differences in innovation* over time.

How can *institutions* and *policies* explain these differences? What is the effects of *institutions* and *policies* on *long-run unemployment* and *economic growth*?

Introduction	Technological Progress	Mist-match	LR Unemployment	Institutions & policies
000000000	000000000	000	000000	0000

## What have we learnt <u>so far</u>

*Foundation of* increasing use of machinery (capital goods) and *prosperity* knowledge in the long-run

*Creative* new production methods destroy old ways of production *Destruction* 

*Puzzle:* why doesn't the continuous process of *job creation* and *job destruction* lead to higher unemployment?

Nature of Work

Introduction	Technological Progress	Mist-match	LR Unemployment	Institutions & policies	Nature of Work
000000000	000000000	000	000000	0000	00000

## WHAT HAVE WE LEARNT SO FAR

*Economic* ability to ensure sustained increase in real wages while *institutions* keeping involuntary unemployment low

*Insurance against change* 

citizens welcome technological change and trade if the country provides insurance against job losses from creative destruction and competition from other economies

*Incentive to* incentive for main actors in the country to increase size of *cooperate* the pie and not fight with each other for their own share

Introduction Technological Progress

Mist-match

LR Unemployment 000000 Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work

# CHANGING NATURE OF WORK

 $agriculture \longrightarrow industrial manufacturing \longrightarrow services$ 



# LIVING STANDARDS & CAPITAL ACCUMULATION

*Living standards* (*GDP per worker*) and *capital accumulation* over time in high, middle and low income countries





00000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000	000000	0000	00000
Unempi	LOYMENT				
		Unemplo	oyment acros	ss countries	
	1960s	Lov	N S	similar	
	1970s	Hig	;h di	ivergent	
Producti	<i>ion</i> has become <i>r</i>	nore capital	intensive ove	r time	
What ha	as this not resulte	ed in mass u	nemployment	?	

Why hasn't labour been replaced by capital leading to rise in the rate of unemployment?

Patterns of unemployment across countries reflect differences in *institutions* and *policies*.

LR Unemployment 000000 Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work 00000

# UK'S SECTORAL LABOUR SHORTAGES

#### The sectors facing the biggest labour shortages





represented by the sector



Institutions & policies

Nature of Work

# SKILL SHORTAGES IN UK CONSTRUCTION

#### High wage growth in construction reflects skill shortages

Growth in average weekly earnings (annual % change

 Whole economy Construction



Source: ONS © FT

Introduction 000000000 Technological Progress

Mist-match

LR Unemployment 000000 Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work 00000

## LONG-RUN LABOUR MARKET MODEL

Explain differences in labour market outcomes across countries Effects of technological progress on living standards and inequality



Introduction 0000000000 Technological Progress

Mist-match 000 LR Unemployment 000000 Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work 00000

GDP perAs entrepreneurs invest, capital per worker increases and<br/>workerworkerGDP per worker and marginal product of capital fallsInvestmentDoes this reduce incentive for entrepreneurs to invest<br/>across the economy and increase capital per worker?





©Dr. Kumar Aniket



40,000 Capital per worker (1985 PPP \$)

1760

1800

20,000

0 0

©Dr. Kumar Aniket

Year

80,000

60,000

 Introduction
 Technological Progress
 Mist-match
 LR Unemployment

 000000000
 000000000
 000
 00000000

Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work 00000

## **TECHNOLOGICAL PROGRESS**

Technologicalrotates the production function upward thus increasingprogressoutput per worker for a given capital per worker



Introduction 0000000000 Technological Progress

Mist-match 000 LR Unemployment 000000 Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work 00000

## **TECHNOLOGICAL PROGRESS**

Technological progressproduction function rotating upwardsCapital goods accumulationincreases in capital per worker



LR Unemployment 000000 Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work 00000

## INCENTIVE TO INNOVATE

*Innovation* technological progress counter-acts the fall in marginal product of capital

*Incentive to* due to the fact that technological progress keeps marginal *innovate* product of capital high



 Technological Progress
 Mist-match

 000000
 000
 000

## TECHNOLOGICAL PROGRESS AND LIVING STANDARDS

Innovationfirms can earn innovation rents by introducing new<br/>technologyCreativefirms that cannot keep up with innovation eventually fail<br/>and exit the market leaving behind innovative firms

*Technological progress* and *capital goods accumulation are complementary process:* 

New technologies require new machines

Technological advance is needed for increasingly capital-intensive methods of production to be profitable.

lead to a sustained increase in average living standards.

Introduction 0000000000 Technological Progress

Mist-match 000 LR Unemployment 000000 Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work 00000

## TECHNOLOGICAL PROGRESS OVER TIME

*High-income countries* have had *labour productivity rise* over time as they became more *capital intensive* 



©Dr. Kumar Aniket

 Introduction
 Technological Progress
 Mist-match
 LR Unemployment
 Institutions & policies

 0000000000
 000000000
 000
 00000000
 0000
 0000

Nature of Work 00000

## TECHNOLOGICAL PROGRESS OVER TIME

Countries

*High income labour productivity* rose concomitantly with *capital accumulation* over time due to *technological progress* 

Unlike the concave production function, capital productivity remained roughly constant over time in the technology leaders because capital accumulation was accompanied by and technological progress

Middle income some capital accumulation but lagged behind in technological progress

Low income lacked both capital accumulation and technological progress

 Introduction
 Technological Progress
 Mist-match
 LR Unemployment

 0000000000
 0000
 0000
 00000000

Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work 00000

# JOB CREATION & DESTRUCTION

*New technology destroys jobs* associated with *old technology* and *creates jobs* associated with new technology

Net employment change is job created minus jobs destroyed in long-run



Figure 16.4. Job destruction, job creation, and net employment across

Introduction 0000000000	Technological Progress	Mist-match •00	LR Unemployment	Institutions & policies 0000	Nature of Work 00000

## BEVERIDGE CURVE

Beveridge curve shows the *inverse* relationship between the *unemployment rate* and the *job vacancy rate* 

- *Recessions* firms post fewer vacancies and lay off more workers due to lower demand
  - *Booms* firms post more vacancies and need more workers to cope with rising demand

Labour Market Matching

- Mismatch unemployed workers unable to match up with vacancies due to *location* and *skill mis-match*
- *Information* unemployed workers unable to match with vacancies due to *lack of information*

 Introduction
 Technological Progress
 Mist-match
 LR Unemployment
 Ins

 000000000
 000000000
 0●0
 0000000
 000
 000

Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work 00000

# US AND GERMAN BEVERIDGE CURVE

German labour market better at matching worker with jobsGerman curve shifts in after 2005US curve shifts out after 2008



©Dr. Kumar Aniket

## Why did the US Beveridge Curve Shift up?

### Beveridge curve shifting out means a *higher unemployment* for a given *vacancy rate*

### US Beveridge curve shifted up after 2008-09

due to skill mismatch and location mismatch

#### Skill mis-match

Increased mismatch between the skills of the unemployed and skills required in the advertised vacancies after the 2008-09 recession, driven largely by the *construction industry* (construction industry account for 40% of the mismatch).

### Location mis-match

With falling house prices, many home owners were not able to move to look for jobs because they were trapped in *negative home equity*, i.e., their house was worth less than their home loan



Proportion of working-age population

0.5

©Dr. Kumar Aniket

Employment rates Unemployment rate = 12%



## PRICE-SETTING CURVE

*Price-setting curve* gives the *real wage paid* when *firms choose their profit maximising price*.





# LABOUR MARKET EQUILIBRIUM: LONG-RUN

Long-run equilibrium at A before new technology is introduced



## New Technology: Long-run

Output per worker and price-setting curve shifts up due to the new productive technology leading to higher wages at B



Introduction	Technological Progress	Mist-match	LR Unemployment	Institutions & policies	Nature of Work
0000000000	000000000	000	000000	0000	00000

## NEW TECHNOLOGY: SHORT-RUN

job destruction

increases unemployment (D) as jobs associated with old technology get destroyed

job creation

decreases unemployment (E) as new firms enter and job associated with new technology get created



Introduction	Technological Progress	Mist-match	LR Unemployment	Institutions & policies	Nature of Work
0000000000	000000000	000	00000	0000	00000

## WAGE-SETTING CURVE: LONG-RUN

*Unemployment does not continuously fall* with *technological progress* **because the** *wage-setting curve can shift upwards* 

Technological change can *indirectly shift the wage-setting curve* due following reasons:

Fair shares bargaining by unions

Policies to help those affected e.g. employment protection laws

Greater disutility of effort

Improvement in the reservation wage

## DIFFERENCES ACROSS COUNTRIES

To achieve *good* economic performance, an economy must: Ensure *price-setting curve shifts* up *more* than *wage-setting curve* Adjust rapidly and fully so *whole economy benefits* from tech progress



©Dr. Kumar Aniket

Introduction	Technological Progress	Mist-match	LR Unemployment	Institutions & policies	Nature of Work
0000000000		000	000000	0000	00000

## IMPORTANT FACTORS

These cross-country differences can be explained by:

Institutions inclusive trade unions choose not to exercise maximum bargaining power because wage increases affect job creation in the long run

*Inclusive trade unions* are ones that represent large proportion of firms and sectors in the country

*Policies* well-designed *unemployment insurance schemes* and *job placement services* can achieve low unemployment rates.

*No magic formula: Institutions* and *policies* used differ across successful countries and over time

Introduction	Technological Progress	Mist-match	LR Unemployment	Institutions & policies	Nature of Work
0000000000		000	000000	00●0	00000
Exampl	ÆS				

- Norway Inclusive trade unions and employers' associations set wage demands in accordance with the productivity of labour, and also supported legislation and policies that shifted the wage-setting curve downwards, further expanding long-run unemployment
  - Japan Employers' associations coordinate wage setting across firms

Corporations deliberately do not compete in hiring workers, to avoid raising wages

Spain A combination of *non-inclusive unions* and *government legislation that protects jobs* rather than workers may help to account for Spain's 'poor' labour market performance. Mist-match 000 LR Unemployment 000000 Institutions & policies

Nature of Work 00000

## CHANGING LABOUR MARKET PERFORMANCE

*Institutions* and *policies* make a big difference for employment and wage growth, but *changing* institutions or policies is difficult because it *creates winners* and *losers*.

*Example* The Netherlands and the UK both had increased unemployment rates in the 1970s due to the *oil price shocks* and the *increased bargaining power of labour* 

Both countries managed to *shift the wage-setting curve down*:

- *Netherlands* institutions became more inclusive
  - *UK* policies reduced the power of non-inclusive unions

 
 Introduction
 Technological Progress
 Mist-match
 LR Unemployment
 Insti 000000000
 Insti

Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work •0000

## CHANGING NATURE OF WORK

As countries get richer, the primary source of employment moves from *agriculture* to *manufacturing* and then to *services* 



oduction Technol

Technological Progress

Mist-match

LR Unemployment 000000 Institutions & policies 0000 Nature of Work

# MANUFACTURING & SERVICES

*Services has slower productivity* growth as compared to manufacturing Labour moving from *manufacturing to services* due to this phenomenon



Introduction	Technological Progress	Mist-match	LR Unemployment	Institutions & policies	Nature of Work
000000000	000000000	000	000000	0000	0000

# MANUFACTURING & SERVICES

Manufacturing productivity increases, shifting the feasible frontier. Assume services productivity is unchanged If consumption patterns don't change, the economy will be at point B. Labour has shifted from the production of goods to the production of services



# MANUFACTURING AND SERVICES: REALITY CHECK

Some other factors that affect the relative labour share of manufacturing

*Productivity increases in some services:* productivity advances have been large in music sharing and digital information.

*Substitution effect:* if the relative price of manufacturing falls, consumers increase its consumption due to the substitution effect

*Income effect:* people may choose to spend more of their budget on services as income rises.

*Specialisation by countries:* international trade and opportunities for specialisation affect which sectors grow/decline

Introduction	Technological Progress	Mist-match	LR Unemployment	Institutions & policies	Nature of Work
0000000000		000	000000	0000	0000●
SUMMAI	RY				

Long-run model of wages and unemployment

Long-run price-setting curve depends on technological progress

Long-run *wage-setting curve* depends on *inclusiveness of unions* and government's *policies* 

Beveridge curve illustrates the dynamics of long run adjustment

Used model to explain *differences in labour market outcomes* across countries

Institutions and policies matter for long-run outcomes

High income countries are also *technological leaders*